Docket No.: 3673-0157P

## **REMARKS**

The disclosure as been objected to for the reason set forth in paragraph 1 of the Examiners Office Action letter. As the Examiner will note, the entire Specification has been reviewed and editorial corrections have been made where necessary. Accordingly, it is believed that the Examiners objections have eliminated.

Claims 1-5 have been rejected by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C 112, second paragraph as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim subject matter in which the Applicant regards as the invention. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

As the Examiner will note, claim 1 has been canceled from the present application and replaced with newly added claim 6. In addition, editorial corrections have been made to claims 2, 4, and 5 of the present application. It is believed that all of the modifications made to the claims have eliminated the Examiners rejection of the claims as set forth in paragraph 2 of the Examiners Office Action letter.

In view of the above and remarks it is now believed that the present application is in condition for allowance. Accordingly, reconsideration of the objections and rejections and allowance of claims 2-6 of the present application are respectfully requested.

Reply to Office Action of March 27, 2006

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies,

to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional

fees required under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 or 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Date: September 26, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

Andrew D. Meikle

Registration No.: 32,868

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

Docket No.: 3673-0157P

8110 Gatehouse Road

Suite 100 East

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

Attorney for Applicant